

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/394,745	FISHER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Brian R Stanton	1600	

**All Participants:**

(1) Brian R Stanton, Jasemine C. Chambers.

(2) Tony Caputa, Gary Benzion, Young Kim.

**Status of Application:** Appeal

(3) Thomas Kelly, David Marsh.

(4) Holly Prutz.

**Date of Interview:** 14 July 2004

**Time:** 10 am

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic

Video Conference

Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*All in general*

Prior art documents discussed:

*n/a*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Discussed various general aspects of the application including procedural issues relating to the restriction petitions and the pending appeal. It was agreed that the parties would convene again in approximately one month for further discussions.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Discussed various general aspects of the application including procedural issues relating to the restriction petitions and the pending appeal. It was agreed that the parties would convene again in approximately one month for further discussions.